

David Tinikashvili

Caucasus University

OVERCOMING ECCLESIASTICAL SCHISM: COERCION, DIALOGUE, AND THE POLITICS OF UNITY IN THE BYZANTIUM

Abstract

This article analyzes the primary tactics employed by the Christian Church, particularly within the Byzantine tradition, to address and resolve ecclesiastical schism. It delineates two principal methodologies: coercion and negotiation. Coercion, frequently implemented via imperial authority, was rationalized by theological considerations for upholding orthodoxy and by political necessities for sustaining municipal order. Case examples encompass the repression of the Donatists during Constantine's reign, the aggressive actions of Justinian I, and subsequent occurrences where patriarchs openly advocated for emperors to persecute dissenters. Although leaders like St. Augustine ultimately advocated for coercion as a remedial measure, some of the Church Fathers, including St. Ambrose of Milan and St. John Chrysostom, dismissed violence as inconsistent with Christian doctrine and engaged in direct confrontation with emperors.

In conjunction with compulsion, negotiation surfaced as a concurrent approach, evident in ecumenical councils, imperial-mediated discussions, and bilateral theological conversations. Theological controversies on Christology, papal supremacy, and liturgical practices were sometimes intertwined with political agendas, exemplified by the unions of Lyons (1274) and Florence (1439), both primarily motivated by Byzantine requests for Western assistance. The essay emphasizes that such agreements persisted only when they garnered widespread acceptance among both clergy and people and when they permitted conceptual flexibility and liturgical heterogeneity. The study highlights the intricate relationship among theology, politics, and culture in influencing attempts to resolve ecclesiastical divisions.

Keywords: *Ecclesiastical schism, Coercion, Negotiation, Byzantine tradition, Theology and politics*

The article provides a historical and theological examination of the tactics utilized in Christianity, especially within the Eastern and Byzantine traditions, to confront the issue of schism. It analyzes both coercive and conciliatory approaches, emphasizing the conflicts among political power, clerical hierarchy, and theological doctrine. The study emphasizes that the schism was not solely a doctrinal issue; it was intricately linked to the dynamics of power, religious identity, and communal endurance.

The theoretical underpinnings of schism are explained in the first part. A group was deemed "schismatic" if it detached from the episcopal hierarchy that maintained uninterrupted apostolic succession, regarded as the sole legitimate guardian of ecclesial

heritage. Consequently, the faction adhering to this apostolic lineage identified itself as “the Church,” whereas the other was excluded and anathematized. This procedure was inherently reciprocal: excommunication from one party was frequently countered by equivalent denunciation from the other, with each side depicting the opponent as heretical and illegitimate.

A significant portion of the article examines coercion as a prevalent strategy for addressing schismatics and heretics. The research commences with the Roman and Byzantine environment, whose rulers regarded themselves as accountable for preserving heavenly favor through appropriate worship. Political stability, or *Pax Romana*, was believed to rely on religious unity; hence, imperial engagement in church matters was presented as both a spiritual and civic imperative.

Instances encompass the deposition of Paul of Samosata in 268, when imperial authority was employed to confiscate ecclesiastical assets, and the brutal repression of the Donatists in North Africa during Constantine's reign in the early fourth century. The aforementioned event exemplifies how imperial oppression frequently received fervent church endorsement: bishops not only acquiesced but occasionally advocated for state-sponsored persecution. The renewed assaults against the Donatists in 347 produced martyrs who, unfortunately, bolstered the schismatic cause, illustrating the unproductive nature of violence.

The instance of Emperor Julian's retraction, reinstating Donatist privileges, serves as a cautionary tale regarding the perils of secular intrusion in ecclesiastical matters; yet, subsequent history indicates that this admonition was infrequently observed. Even sympathetic historians conceded Constantine's intrusive involvement in ecclesiastical governance, recognizing that emperors frequently functioned as *de facto* leaders of the Church while paradoxically allowing pagan ceremonies in their honor.

Subsequent emperors, notably Justinian I, exemplified caesaropapist intervention. Procopius' *Anecdota* (The Secret History) vividly depicts him as a despotic manipulator who compelled conversions, enforced doctrinal choices, and occasionally enacted measures approaching new heresies. Justinian's treatment of Pope Vigilius, whom he essentially confined, exemplifies the susceptibility of Western bishops to Eastern imperial authority. His actions against Origenism in 543 underscore the theological perils of delegating doctrinal authority to untrained rulers.

The essay also details the atrocious actions employed by other rulers, including mutilation and property devastation for individuals who opposed the Council of Chalcedon. The coerced conversion of tens of thousands of pagans, conducted under ecclesiastical oversight and supported by imperial threats of confiscation and banishment, underscores the Church's culpability. Scholars used to illustrate that clergy frequently sought such interventions to establish a unified Christian social order. By 528, law had formalized bishop accountability for the suppression of paganism, linking the ecclesiastical authority to state enforcement.

The article highlights that pressure was not solely enforced by emperors but also instigated by clergy leaders seeking imperial support. Patriarch John Scholasticus of Constantinople and Emperor Justin II orchestrated attacks against Monophysites; Patriarch Timothy of Constantinople convinced Emperor Anastasius to punish dissenters. These instances challenge the idealized perspective, promoted by certain Orthodox

fundamentalists, that Eastern Christianity rejected compulsion in contrast to the Latin West. Conversely, the Byzantine Church utilized violence, whereas the Inquisition in the West, despite its severity, established legal protocols that occasionally led to acquittals, as evidenced by statistical analysis.

Augustine's rationale for coercion is given specific emphasis. Initially resistant to coercion, he altered his stance during the Donatist conflict, viewing Luke 14:23 ("compel them to come in") as divine sanction for corrective measures. Augustine contended that fear and force could ultimately facilitate the pursuit of truth for souls. Nonetheless, the essay observes that the majority of Church Fathers, from both Eastern and Western traditions, repudiated violence. Ambrose of Milan insisted on penance from Theodosius following the genocide of pagans, whilst John Chrysostom cautioned that the execution of heretics jeopardized both the wheat and the tares, as some heretics might yet convert.

Alongside coercion, bargaining constituted the second principal strategy. Ecumenical councils, state-sponsored dialogues, and bilateral theological conversations sought to reconcile divisions. Although frequently motivated by a sincere desire for doctrinal precision, these discussions were nevertheless significantly influenced by political expediency. The unions with Rome in 1274 at Lyons and in 1439 at Florence demonstrate how Byzantine monarchs solicited Western military assistance against the Ottomans by yielding religious concessions.

Doctrinal disagreements encompassed Christology (specifically the relationship between Christ's divine and human natures, resulting in Chalcedonian and non-Chalcedonian churches) and ecclesiology (the supremacy of the Roman pope versus conciliar parity). Liturgical traditions, like the use of leavened vs. unleavened bread in the Eucharist, the incorporation of the filioque clause, and doctrines regarding purgatory, further hampered unity. Negotiators frequently sought semantic compromise, creating formulations acceptable to both parties by leveraging linguistic intricacies and common theological references.

The study underscores that effective discussion necessitates meticulous rhetorical methods. Both parties referenced patristic authority, occasionally in a selective manner, requiring contextual interpretation to prevent semantic pitfalls. Fifteenth-century Byzantine diplomats at Florence attempted to reconcile Latin formulations of the filioque with Eastern pneumatology through linguistic accuracy and conceptual redefinition.

Notwithstanding temporary accords, the majority of unions demonstrated fragility. Three primary factors have been identified: The reception by the laity: Agreements mandated from above frequently disintegrated when opposed by the broader clergy and laity, as evidenced by Lyons and Florence. The widespread opposition made these unions unviable. Political instability: Unions, first pursued for military or financial assistance, became irrelevant when anticipated support did not materialize, exemplified by the fall of Byzantium notwithstanding the Florentine agreement. Conceptual flexibility: Progress was attainable when negotiators permitted liturgical variety or employed complex definitions. Contemporary Christological pronouncements between Chalcedonian and Oriental churches illustrate this more effective methodology.

The tone and methodology were both essential. Triumphalist rhetoric intensified conflict, but courteous discourse, historical understanding, and the avoidance of caricature provided more favorable outcomes. Consequently, enduring unity necessitated more than

just theological formulations; it required institutional protections for local customs, widespread acceptance, and the incorporation of political realities.

The article concludes that the history of resolving schism presents a twofold legacy. Coercion, frequently instigated by the collusion of church and empire, resulted in extensive misery, theological distortion, and enduring disintegration. Conversely, negotiation—despite frequently being hindered by political agendas—at least facilitated the potential for reconciliation via discourse, meticulous theological argumentation, and reciprocal understanding. The sustainability of unity relied on a composite agreement that integrated doctrinal precision, canonical processes, political pragmatism, and cultural adaptability. Genuine communion emerged solely where all these conditions aligned.